Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics of Complex Systems

25 July (Mon.) - 28 July(Thu.), 2022. at 1503, 5th FI.,KIAS

Agent-based model of COVID-19 transmission for location-specific risk assessment and control

Lei-Han Tang

Department of Physics Institute of Computational and Theoretical Studies Hong Kong Baptist University <u>Goal</u>

Brings modelling one step closer to epidemic management in real life

Overview

- Quick facts about COVID-19 waves in east Asia
- The SEIR model
 - Infectious period, RO, exponential growth, renewal process
 - Community-wide qPCR testing and quarantine
- A location-based transmission network model for risk evaluation and pandemic control
 - Formulation
 - Epidemic growth rate a fundamental equation
 - Social contact: a toy model
- Conclusions

Quick facts about COVID-19 waves in east Asia

COVID-19 waves in 4-dragon countries/regions

owid/covid-19-data





COVID-19 waves in 4-dragon countries/regions

The omicron challenge

Increased vaccination (say beyond 70%) significantly slows down the growth and speeds up the decline of a new wave



Omicron waves in HK, Shenzhen and Shanghai



Growth rate day

near lockdown

Community-wide qPCR testing implemented in Shenzhen and Shanghai on routine basis to curb hidden transmission by asymptomatic viral carriers

The SEIR model susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered

incubation-infectious-recovered/removed (this work)

Compartmentalized model for disease progression

Kermack, W.O. & McKendrick, A.G. A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. Proc R Soc Lond A 115, 700-721 (1927).

Disease progression and isolation (SEIR model)





Disease progression and isolation (SEIR model)



Probability that a person infected at t = 0 is infectious at time t:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{k_L}{k_I - k_L} \left(e^{-k_L t} - e^{-k_I t} \right)$$

Laplace transform

$$\hat{\rho}(\lambda) \equiv \int_0^\infty \rho(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt = \frac{k_L}{(\lambda + k_L)(\lambda + k_I)}$$

Reproduction number

$$R_0 = \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \beta dt = \beta \hat{\rho}(0) = \frac{\beta}{k_1}$$

Disease progression and isolation (SEIR model)



Renewal process

$$E(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \rho(t-t_1) E(t_1) \beta dt_1$$

Exponential growth

$$E(t) = E_0 e^{\lambda t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta \hat{
ho}(\lambda) = 1$$



Testing



Probability that a person infected at t = 0Is infectious at time t:



$$\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda) = \frac{k_{L}}{(\lambda + k_{L})(\lambda + k_{I} + \omega)}$$

Reproduction number: $R_E = \beta \hat{\rho}_E(0) = \frac{\beta}{k_I + \omega}$

Rate of exponential growth:

$$\lambda_{E} = -\frac{k_{L} + k_{I} + \omega}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{k_{L} + k_{I} + \omega}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(R_{E} - 1\right)k_{L}\left(k_{I} + \omega\right)}$$

Testing with reporting delay



Probability that a person infected at t = 0Is infectious at time t:

$$\rho_{E}(t,\tau_{0}) = \rho_{E}(t,\tau_{0}=0) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{0}} d\tau \omega \rho_{E}(t-\tau,\tau_{0}=0) e^{-k_{I}\tau}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda) = \left(1 + \omega \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda + k_{I})\tau_{0}}}{\lambda + k_{I}}\right) \hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda, \tau_{0} = 0)$$

Reproduction number:

$$R_{E} = \beta \hat{\rho}_{E} \left(0 \right) = R_{0} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{k_{I} + \omega} e^{-k_{I} \tau_{0}} \right)$$



But... what determines β ?

Challenges for precision epidemic control:

- Transmission likely to take place at "high-risk" venues and among "high-risk groups".
- Omicron is much more transmissible than earlier variants, and a large percentage of infections are asymptomatic or with very mild symptoms.
- To be resource-efficient, control measures need to focus more on high-risk venues their roles in a complex network setting.

A location-based transmission network model for risk evaluation and pandemic control

Agent-based Model

Individual *i*

Trajectory:
$$\Gamma_i(t) \in \text{set of locations} \{\alpha\}$$

Infection rate at
$$\alpha$$
: $\mu_{i\alpha}(t)r_{\alpha}(t)$

Total infection rate:

$$p_{i}(t) = p_{i,ext}(t) + \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{i\alpha}(t) r_{\alpha}(t) \delta_{\alpha,\Gamma_{i}(t)}$$

<u>Risk increment if infectious</u>: $v_{i\alpha}(t)$



Location α

Risk factor:

$$r_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{i} v_{i\alpha} q_{i}(t) \delta_{\alpha, \Gamma_{i}(t)}$$

Prob being infectious:

$$q_i(t) = \int_0^\infty \rho_E(\tau) p_i(t-\tau) d\tau$$

Network formulation of transmission risk

$$r_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{i} v_{i\alpha} q_{i}(t) \delta_{\alpha, \Gamma_{i}(t)} = \sum_{i} v_{i\alpha} \delta_{\alpha, \Gamma_{i}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \rho_{E}(\tau) p_{i}(t-\tau)$$

Hence

$$r_{\alpha}(t) = r_{\alpha,ext}(t) + \sum_{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau h(\tau) K_{\alpha\beta}(t,t-\tau) r_{\beta}(t-\tau)$$

with the risk propagation matrix

$$K_{\alpha\beta}(t,t_1) = \sum_{i} V_{i\alpha}(t) \mu_{i\beta}(t_1) \delta_{\alpha,\Gamma_i(t)} \delta_{\beta,\Gamma_i(t_1)}$$

i.e., individuals serve as agents to transfer risk from one location to another.

- Has the capacity to model a variety of behavioral and mitigation scenarios
- Delay dynamics on complex networks, analogy to excitable systems such as the human brain
- Nonlinearity can be introduced through risk aversion etc.

Exponential growth

Well-mixed:
$$\beta \hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda) = 1$$

Under a constant transmission matrix
$$K_{\alpha\beta}$$
,
let $r_{\alpha}(t) = a_{\alpha}e^{\lambda t}$
 $a_{\alpha}e^{\lambda t} = \sum_{\beta}\int_{0}^{\infty}d\tau\rho_{E}(\tau)K_{\alpha\beta}a_{\beta}e^{\lambda(t-\tau)}$
 $= e^{\lambda t}\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda)\sum_{\beta}K_{\alpha\beta}a_{\beta}$
 $Ka_{M} = \Lambda_{M}a_{M}$
network
control $\Lambda_{M} = \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda)}$ testing
contact-tracing $\Lambda_{M} = \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda)}$ testing $\Lambda_{M} = \frac{$

Social contact: a toy model

$$\mu_{i\alpha} = 1 \text{ day}^{-1}$$

m = # active individuals



Social contact: a toy model

Propagation matrix:

$$K_{\alpha\beta}(t,t_1) = \sum_i v_{i\alpha}(t) \mu_{i\beta}(t_1) \delta_{\alpha,\Gamma_i(t)} \delta_{\beta,\Gamma_i(t_1)}$$

Temporal correlation of repeated visits to the common facility:

$$\left\langle \delta_{0,\Gamma_{i}(t)} \delta_{0,\Gamma_{i}(t_{1})} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} f\tau_{0}^{2}, & \text{same group} \\ f^{2}\tau_{0}^{2}, & \text{random} \\ 0, & \left| t - t_{1} \right| > k_{L}^{-1} + k_{I}^{-1} \end{cases}$$

a fraction *f* of residents visit the facility for a period τ_0 each day



Social contact: a toy model

Assuming identical occupation and management of the *N* estates,

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_{00} & NK_{01} \\ K_{10} & K_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_M \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Lambda_M = \frac{K_{11}}{2} \left[1 + \gamma \Delta + \sqrt{\left(1 - \gamma \Delta\right)^2 + 4\Delta} \right]$$
$$\Delta = Nf^2 \frac{v_0 \tau_0^2}{v_1 \tau_1^2}, \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} f^{-1}, & \text{same group} \\ 1, & \text{random} \\ 0, & \left| t - t_1 \right| > k_L^{-1} + k_L^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Network transmission can be much reduced when successive visits are separated by an interval longer than the recovery time.

 K_{11} = infection rate within each estate





Concluding remarks

- Omicron set the bar much higher for pandemic control.
- Complex network dynamics study can offer novel strategies to manage risk based on the fundamental relation:

network
control
$$\Lambda_{M} = \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}_{E}(\lambda)}$$
 testing
contact-tracing

• Exploration of clever network motifs to bring down $\Lambda_{\rm M}$



Thank you for your attention!

Leihan Tang 5 Think

COVID-19 Modelling Group, HKBU Spring 2020